

## Habeas Corpus Appeals: An Overview & Proposed Way Forward

LEGISLATIVE HABEAS TASK FORCE OCTOBER 16, 2019

#### Jennifer Bourn Supervisory Assistant Public Defender Legal Services (Appellate) Unit - Hartford

- 1. Overview of current process
- 2. Criticisms of current process
- 3. Things to consider when making a change
- 4. **Our proposal** for reliable identification and efficient disposition of frivolous appeals



# Sec. 52-470. Summary disposal of habeas corpus case. Determination of good cause for trial. Appeal by person convicted of crime.

(g) No appeal from the judgment rendered in a habeas corpus proceeding brought by or on behalf of a person who has been convicted of a crime in order to obtain such person's release may be taken unless the appellant, within ten days after the case is decided, petitions the judge before whom the case was tried or, if such judge is unavailable, a judge of the Superior Court designated by the Chief Court Administrator, to certify that a question is involved in the decision which ought to be reviewed by the court having jurisdiction and the judge so certifies.

# A question "which ought to be reviewed"

## Overarching purpose: elimination of **frivolous** appeals

- Non-frivolous (need NOT be winning)
- A colorable claim
- Debatable among jurists of reason
- Court *could* resolve the issue(s) in a different manner
- Questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further

Interpretation of the statute, and development and application of the standard has come from **decades of consistent and well-established case law**.

### Petition for Certification to Appeal

"Pet. Cert." Process

Order is on back/page 2 of the form

2.3.5.8 \$ 52-559, 52-2596, 52-470 r, BK. Sec. 43-30, 53-1, 63-5, 63-8, 63-7, 83-8, 66-1 Unicial District of <u>COLLOADCL OF</u> <u>ROCKUTTE</u>	Date of decision Docket number
lame of petitioner	8-14-19 TERCV198
	COMMISSIONER OF COrrection
Before you can appeal to the Connecticut Appellate Court from the decision on your habeas corpus petition, you must: Within 10 days from the date of decision, file a request with either the judge who decided the case, or if that judge is not available, to the judge of the Superior Court designated by the Chief Court Administrator, to certify that a question is involved in the decision which ought to be reviewed by the Appellate Court. If you want to appeal, you may use the bottom part of this form (Petition for Certification - Habeas Corpus) to make your request. (Connecticut General Statutes, Section 52-470).	
<ol> <li>Within 20 (twenty) days from the issuance of the notice to you on the petition for certification ("date notice issued" on the back or page 2 of this form), you have a right to file an appeal with the clerk of this court. (Con- necticut Practice Book Sections 63-1, 80-1). The court rule concerning extensions of this 20-day appeal period is printed on the back/page 2 of this form.</li> <li>You must pay the entry fee of \$250.00 when you appeal; and the court may order that you give security for costs unless the court says that you do not have to under the next section. (Connecticut Practice Book Section 63-5; Connecticut General Statutes Section 52-259).</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>denies your request, but the judge certifies that a question is involved in the decision which ought to be reviewed by the Appellate Court, you or a lawyer acting for you, must file you</li> </ul>
ETITION FOR CERTIFICATION HABEAS CORPUS) -CR-84A Rev. 8-12 -S.S. 52-470, PF. BIK. 80-1	
udicial District of	Date of decision Docket number
TOILCORD OF ROCKVILLE	8-14-19 TSPCV 19.
To: (fill in the name of the thal Judge) Judge Judge Judge is not available, to the ju Administrator to certify th	dge of the Superior Court designated by the Chief Court
request a certification that a question is involved in the deci y the Connecticut Appellate Court. The grounds for my request written in the Application for Waiver of Fees, Costs an (Form JD-CR-73), which I am submitting with this petition (Specify grounds, attach additional sheets if necessar	d Expenses and Appointment of Counsel on Appeal ion.
IOTICE: This petition must be made within 10 days from the date of decision and sent to the clerk of the Superior Court for the Judicial District named above.	Signed







**Overview of Current Appellate Process** 

## What does the pet cert ruling mean?

#### IF IT'S DENIED...

Appeal is filed.

Briefs are filed, addressing whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying the pet cert and also whether habeas court erred in deciding merits below.

Claims raised on appeal limited to issues raised in the pet cert itself.

After argument, appeal may be dismissed if court affirms ruling denying pet cert.

Or court may find abuse of discretion on pet cert, but affirm denial of habeas on merits.

Or court may find abuse of discretion on pet cert, and reverse habeas decision on merits.

#### IF IT'S GRANTED...

Appeal is filed.

Briefs are filed, addressing merits of habeas claims and whether court erred in deciding merits below.

No limitation on issues that may be raised in the appeal.

After argument, appellate decision will affirm or reverse habeas court's decision on merits.

## **Criticism of Current Process**

Pet Cert process doesn't reduce number of frivolous appeals.

Everyone gets an appeal.

Everyone gets full appellate briefing (though not everyone will get full merits review and decision on the merits).

Everyone gets oral argument.

We are wasting resources on frivolous appeals.

No way under current law to truncate the full appellate process for appeals that have been determined to be frivolous.

Considering Change to Process?

Some points and concerns to keep in mind

- Federal exhaustion requirement
- Federal habeas review of state court's decision

- Habeas court gets pet cert ruling wrong with some frequency

- Habeas judge may or may not be best final decisionmaker as to whether there is an issue worthy of appellate review

- But a different decision-maker would need a lot of information about the case in order to know whether there is a non-frivolous issue

- Wrongful conviction/accuracy and reliability of ruling denying relief

- Creating need for future litigation about scope and application of new rules and continuing validity of old case law

- Unintended consequences/changes to existing law or procedure

- Reviving writ of error as means of appellate review

- Future IAC claims where attorney's performance or error is reason client did not get full appellate review

# Ideas for more accurate identification and efficient disposition of frivolous appeals

- 1. MAKE THE APPELLANT BRIEF THE PET CERT.
- 2. CHANGE ANDERS RULE TO ALLOW DISMISSAL OF APPEAL.

## Proposal #1: The Appellant's Brief as a Pet Cert

1. Eliminate current pet cert process in habeas court.

2. Continue to allow all aggrieved parties to file an appeal.

3. Appellate Court treats the appellant's brief as the pet cert. After the brief is filed, the court decides whether to certify that there is a question that ought to be reviewed, using current the current standard. 4. If there is such a question, the court will issue an order to that effect and order the appellee to file a brief/order the parties to proceed with the appeal.

5. If there is not such a question, the court will issue an order to that effect and dismiss the appeal. The appellant may file a petition for certification to appeal asking the Supreme Court to hear the case.

#### Sec. 52-470 could look something like...

(g) No appeal An appeal from the judgment rendered in a habeas corpus proceeding brought by or on behalf of a person who has been convicted of a crime in order to obtain such person's release may be taken unless the appellant, within ten twenty days after the case is decided or after the court, petitions the judge before whom the case was tried rules on an application for waiver of fees and costs and for appointment of counsel on appeal, whichever is later. or, if such judge is unavailable, a judge of the Superior Court designated by the Chief Court Administrator, After the filing of the appellant's brief, the Appellate Court shall review the brief to determine if it will to certify that a question is involved in the decision which ought to be reviewed. If the court does not so certify, then the court may dismiss the appeal and decline to allow further briefing or argument. by the court having jurisdiction and the judge so certifies.



**Proposed Process for Appeal Determined to Be Frivolous** 

# Proposal #2: Dismiss appeal if there is a finding that the case is frivolous after *Anders* motion

#### CURRENT PROCESS, PB § 43-34

1. Appointed counsel files M/Withdraw and memorandum in support under seal, "outlining anything in the record that might arguably support the appeal and the factual and legal basis for the conclusion that an appeal would be wholly frivolous."

2. Client can file a response.

3. If, after review of the transcripts and filings, the presiding judge finds that the appeal is wholly frivolous, court grants m/withdraw **and permits party to proceed as selfrepresented party**.

#### PROPOSED CHANGE TO § 43-36

(earlier steps remain the same where counsel must move to withdraw instead of filing an appellant brief)

3. If, after review of the transcripts and filings, the presiding judge finds that the appeal is wholly frivolous, **the court may dismiss the appeal**.

#### How Criticism of Current Process Is Addressed By These Proposals

Pet Cert process doesn't reduce number of frivolous appeals.

Everyone gets an appeal.

Everyone gets full appellate briefing (though not everyone will get full merits review and decision on the merits).

Everyone gets oral argument.

We are wasting resources on frivolous appeals.

No way under current law to truncate the full appellate process for appeals that have been determined to be frivolous. New Pet Cert process allows App Ct to truncate & dismiss frivolous appeals.

Not everyone will get a full appeal.

Frivolous appeals do not get full briefing or review.

Frivolous appeals do not get oral argument.

We will no longer be wasting resources on appeals that the court deems frivolous.

There is a way to more efficiently dispose of frivolous appeals.